

TOWARDS A SEPARATION THEOREM OF POINTS BY ADJOINT LINEAR SYSTEMS ON POLARIZED THREEFOLDS

TAKAO FUJITA

§0. Introduction

The motivation of this note comes from the following

(0.1) **Conjecture A.** *Let L be an ample line bundle on a smooth algebraic variety M . Let K be the canonical bundle of M and set $n = \dim M$. Then $K + tL$ is spanned for every $t > n$, i.e., $\text{Bs}|K + tL| = \emptyset$. Moreover, $K + nL$ is spanned unless $L^n = 1$.*

(0.2) **Conjecture B.** *Let L, M, K be as above. Then $K + tL$ is very ample for every $t \geq n + 2$. Moreover $K + (n + 1)L$ is very ample unless $L^n = 1$.*

(0.3) We have now many partial answers to the above conjectures, but they depend essentially on vanishing theorems of Kodaira type. Hence we consider the complex cases only, though no counter-example is known in positive characteristic cases.

Now we review such partial results.

(0.4) *Fact.* *The conjectures A and B are true when $n = 2$.*

This is indeed a consequence of the famous result of Reider [R].

(0.5) *Fact.* *Conjecture A is true if L is spanned.*

Outline of proof. Let $\pi : M_1 \rightarrow M$ be the blow-up at $x \in M$ and let E be the exceptional divisor over x . Then $\text{Bs}|\pi^*L - E|$ has only finitely many points off E , since $|L|$ defines a finite morphism $\rho : M \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^N$. Hence $\pi^*L - E$ is nef, so $H^1(M_1, \pi^*(K + nL) - E) = 0$ by Kawamata-Viehweg vanishing theorem. This implies $x \notin \text{Bs}|K + nL|$. The cases $K + tL$ with $t > n$ is easier.

(0.6) *Fact.* *Conjecture B is true if L is very ample.*

Outline of proof. Let π, M_1, E be as above. It suffices to show $\text{Bs}|\pi^*(K + tL) - E| = \emptyset$. If not, take a base point y of $|\pi^*(K + tL) - E|$. Then $\pi(y)$ is a point on M which may be infinitely near to x . Let ℓ be the line passing $\pi(y)$ and x in $\mathbb{P}$ with respect to the embedding $\rho : M \rightarrow \mathbb{P}$ defined by $|L|$.

If $\ell \not\subset M$, let $\pi_2 : M_2 \rightarrow M_1$ be the blow-up at y and let E_2 be its exceptional divisor. Then $L_{M_2} - \pi_2^*E_1 - E_2$ is nef as in (0.5), and we get a contradiction by using vanishing theorem.

If $\ell \subset M$, there is a smooth member D of $|L|$ such that $\ell \subset D$, provided $n \geq 3$. Let D_1 be the proper transform of D on M_1 . Then $y \in D_1$ and $[\pi^*(K + tL) - E_1]_{D_1} = [\pi^*(K_D + (t - 1)L_D) - E_1]_{D_1}$ is spanned at y by the induction hypothesis on n . This yields a contradiction, as desired.

(0.7) *Fact.* *$K + tL$ is nef under the hypothesis of Conjecture A. (cf., e.g., [F2]).*

(0.8) *Example.* Let (M, L) be a weighted hypersurface of degree 6 in the weighted projective space $\mathbb{P}(3, 2, 1, \dots, 1)$. Then $K = (1 - n)L$, $L^n = 1$, $\text{Bs}|L| \neq \emptyset$, $2L$ is spanned but not very ample, and mL is very ample for every $m \geq 3$ (cf., e.g., [F0;(6.14-17)]). This example shows that the bounds for t in (0.1) and (0.2) are sharp.

This is a consequence of the remarkable result of Ein-Lazarsfeld [EL], supplemented by [F4].

(0.10) Now we focus our attention to Conjecture B in case $n = 3$. In this note we describe an approach to this problem, based on a result in [F3]. This method is less powerful than Ein-Lazarsfeld's, but it is cheaper, i.e., needs fewer computations and pages. The main result can be stated as follows.

(0.11) **Theorem.** *Let (M, L) be a smooth polarized threefold over $\mathbb{C}$. Then $|K + tL|$ separates any two different points on M for $t \geq 6$.*

The bound for t is not the one predicted in (0.2), and at present I cannot prove the separation of infinitely near points. But I hope that the method is of some interest because of its cheapness. I remark also that the same method gives the spannedness of $|K + tL|$ for $t \geq 5$.

§1. Preliminaries

(1.1) *Notation.* Given a $\mathbb{Q}$ -divisor D , we denote the integral part and fractional part of D by $\text{Int}(D)$ and $\text{Frac}(D)$ respectively. Thus $D = \text{Int}(D) + \text{Frac}(D)$ and $\text{Frac}(D)$ is effective. D is called an *NC $\mathbb{Q}$ -divisor* if its support has only normal crossing singularities.

Given a birational morphism $f : V \rightarrow W$, we denote by $\text{Exc}(f)$ (or $\text{Exc}(V/W)$) the exceptional set of f . Note that $\text{Exc}(f) = f^{-1}(X)$ for the smallest subset X of W such that $f^{-1}(W - X) \cong W - X$.

(1.2) **Lemma.** *Let $\pi : M_1 \rightarrow M$ be the blow-up at a smooth point x on a variety M with $\dim M = n$ and let E be the exceptional divisor. Then, for any line bundle L on M , $h^0(\pi^*L - tE) \geq h^0(L) - \frac{1}{n!}t(t+1) \cdots (t+n-1)$.*

Proof. By the exact sequence $0 \rightarrow H^0(\pi^*L - (s+1)E) \rightarrow H^0(\pi^*L - sE) \rightarrow H^0(E, -sE_E)$ we infer $h^0(\pi^*L - sE) - h^0(\pi^*L - (s+1)E) \leq h^0(\mathbb{P}^{n-1}, \mathcal{O}(s))$. Therefore $h^0(L) - h^0(\pi^*L - tE) \leq \sum_{s=0}^{t-1} \frac{1}{(n-1)!}(s+1) \cdots (s+n-1) = \frac{1}{n!}t(t+1) \cdots (t+n-1)$.

(1.3) Now we recall the following

Theorem. *Let L be a line bundle on a variety V with $\dim V = n$. Suppose that $h^0(V, tL) \geq dt^n/n! + \phi(t)$ for infinitely many positive integers t , where d is a positive number and $\phi(t)$ is a function such that $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \phi(t)/t^n = 0$. Then, for any $\epsilon > 0$, there is a birational morphism $\pi : M \rightarrow V$ together with an effective $\mathbb{Q}$ -divisor E on M such that $H = \pi^*L - E$ is a semiample $\mathbb{Q}$ -bundle with $H^n > d - \epsilon$.*

For a proof, see [F3].

(1.4) *Remark.* In the above situation, we can choose M and E so that

- 1) H is ample,
- 2) E is an NC $\mathbb{Q}$ -divisor, and
- 3) $\text{Exc}(\pi)$ is contained in the support of E .

Indeed, we replace M and E as follows. Since H is nef and big, there is an effective $\mathbb{Q}$ -divisor Δ such that $H - \delta\Delta$ is ample for any $0 < \delta \leq 1$. Let $f : M' \rightarrow M$ be a succession of blowing-ups along smooth centers such that $\text{Supp}(f^*(E + \Delta)) \cup \text{Exc}(\pi \circ f)$ is an NC divisor. Let N be an effective $\mathbb{Q}$ -divisor on M' such that $\text{Supp}(N) = \text{Exc}(f)$ and $-N$ is f -ample. Then $f^*(H - \delta\Delta) - \alpha N$ is ample for $0 < \alpha \ll 1$. Set $E' = f^*(E + \delta\Delta) + \alpha N$ for sufficiently small positive numbers δ and α . Then this pair (M', E') satisfies the conditions 1) and 2), preserving the property $(H')^n > d - \epsilon$. Adding a very small portion of $\text{Exc}(\pi \circ f)$ to E' , we can arrange things so that 3) is satisfied too, since the requirements are open conditions.

(1.5) **Index Theorem.** *Let A, B, H be nef $\mathbb{Q}$ -bundles on a variety V with $\dim V = n$. Then $(ABH^{n-2})^2 \geq (A^2H^{n-2})(B^2H^{n-2})$.*

For a proof, reduce the problem to the case in which H is very ample, and then use the

(1.6) **Corollary.** *Let A, B be nef $\mathbb{Q}$ -bundles on a threefold V . Then $(A^3)^2(B^3) \leq (A^2B)^3$.*

§2. Main Result

(2.1) Throughout this section, let A be a line bundle on a threefold V having only log terminal singularities and K be the canonical $\mathbb{Q}$ -bundle of V . Let x, y be different smooth points on V . Suppose that $B = A - K$ is nef and satisfies the following conditions.

- 1) $BC \geq d_1 > 2$ for any irreducible curve C passing x or y ,
- 2) $B^2S \geq d_2$ for any irreducible surface S containing x or y ,
- 3) $B^3 \geq d_3$,

where d_i 's are positive numbers satisfying

$$\text{NA1)} \quad d_3^2(d_3(d_1 - 1)^3 - 54d_1^3) > (d_3(d_1 - 1) - d_1d_2)^3 > 0.$$

Note that these conditions are satisfied by $d_i = 6^i$ when $B = 6L$ for some ample line bundle L .

Remark A. We may assume that $d_i \in \mathbb{Q}$ by perturbing slightly if necessary.

Remark B. We may assume $d_3 = B^3$.

To see this, set $q = (d_1 - 1)/d_1$ and $b = 1 - (d_2/qd_3)$. Then NA1) is equivalent to $d_3^2(q^3d_3 - 54) > (qd_3 - d_2)^3 > 0$, and further to $54 < q^3d_3 - d_3(q - d_2/d_3)^3 = q^3d_3(1 - b^3) = q^2d_2(1 + b + b^2)$. Replacing d_3 by $d = B^3$, b becomes larger and NA1) is still satisfied.

From now on, we assume $d_3 = B^3 = d$.

(2.2) Let $\pi_2 : V_2 \rightarrow V$ be the blow-up at x and y , and let E_x, E_y be the exceptional divisors over x, y respectively. Take a positive integer m such that mqB is Cartier, where $q = (d_1 - 1)/d_1$. Then $h^0(tm qB) = \frac{t^3}{6}m^3q^3d + O(t^2)$ for $t \gg 0$. Hence $h^0(tm qB_{V_2} - 3tmE_x - 3tmE_y) \geq \frac{t^3}{6}m^3(q^3d - 54) + O(t^2)$ by (1.2). Since $q^3d - 54 > d(q - d_2/d)^3$ by NA1), there is a birational morphism $f : M \rightarrow V_2$ and an effective NC $\mathbb{Q}$ -divisor F on M such that $H = (qB - 3E_x - 3E_y)_M - F$ is ample, $H^3 > d(q - d_2/d)^3$ and $\text{Exc}(\pi_2 \circ f) \subset \text{Supp}(F)$, by virtue of (1.3) and (1.4).

(2.3) *Claim.* Let $F + f^*(3E_x + 3E_y) = \sum_i \mu_i F_i$ be the prime decomposition. Then $\mu_i < 1$ if $S = \pi(F_i)$ is a surface passing x or y , where $\pi = \pi_2 \circ f$.

Proof. If not, we have $B_M^2(qB_M - H) \geq B_M^2F \geq B^2S \geq d_2$, so $B_M^2H \leq qd - d_2$. On the other hand $d^2H^3 \leq (B_M^2H)^3$ by (1.6), hence $(qd - d_2)^3 \geq d^2H^3$, contradicting the choice of H .

(2.4) Let $R = \sum_i a_i F_i$ be the ramification divisor of $\pi = \pi_2 \circ f$. Set $\nu_i = \mu_i/(a_i + 1)$ for each i . Perturbing the choice of F if necessary, we may assume that $\nu_i \neq \nu_j$ if $i \neq j$. Let $I_x = \{i | \pi(F_i) \ni x\}$, $\nu_x = \max_{i \in I_x} \nu_i$ and let i_x be the index $i \in I_x$ such that $\nu_i = \nu_x$. Replacing x by y , we define I_y, ν_y and i_y similarly. Since $\mu_i \geq 3$ and $a_i = 2$ for the proper transform F_i of E_x or E_y , we have $\nu_x \geq 1$ and $\nu_y \geq 1$, so F_{i_x} and F_{i_y} are π -exceptional by (2.3).

(2.5) It is possible that $i_x = i_y$. In this case $F_{i_x} = F_{i_y}$ is mapped onto a curve passing x and y . This case will be treated in (2.6). Here we consider the case $i_x \neq i_y$.

By symmetry we may assume $\nu_x > \nu_y$. Put $c = \nu_y^{-1}$ and $W = F_{i_y}$. Then $c\mu_i \leq a_i + 1$ for any $i \in I_y$, with the equality only for $i = i_y$. Hence $\text{Int}(\sum_i (c\mu_i - a_i)F_i) = W + P - N$ for some effective divisors P, N with $y \notin \pi(P)$. Note that F_{i_x} is a component of P since $\nu_x > \nu_y$. Set $\Delta = \text{Frac}(\sum_i (c\mu_i - a_i)F_i)$. Then $A - K(M) - \Delta = B - R - \Delta = \frac{1}{d_1}B + (1 - c)qB + cH + P - N + W$. Hence $H^1(M, A - P + N - W) = 0$ by the vanishing theorem, so $H^0(M, A - P + N) \rightarrow H^0(W, (A - P + N)_W)$ is surjective.

For any component F_i of N , we have $a_i > c\mu_i > 0$. Hence N is π -exceptional, so $H^0(M, A - P) \cong H^0(M, A - P + N)$. Since $H^0(W, A - P) \rightarrow H^0(W, A - P + N)$ is injective, we infer that $H^0(M, A - P) \rightarrow H^0(W, A - P)$ is surjective.

Now we divide the cases according to $\dim \pi(W)$.

ℂ. Take $\varphi \in H^0(M, A - P)$ which is mapped to $1 \in H^0(W, A - P)$. This corresponds to $\psi \in H^0(M, A)$ vanishing along P , and $\psi = \pi^*\xi$ for some $\xi \in H^0(V, A)$. The above choice of φ implies $\xi(y) \neq 0$, while $\xi(x) = 0$ since $\pi(P) \supset \pi(F_{i_x}) \ni x$. Thus x and y are separated by $|A|$.

(2.5.1) When $C = \pi(W)$ is a curve, let $W \rightarrow Z \rightarrow C$ be the Stein factorization. Then Z is normal and every fiber of $g : W \rightarrow Z$ is connected. Take a point z on Z lying over y and let Γ be the fiber $g^{-1}(z)$. Since $BC \geq d_1$, $d_1^{-1}B - \Gamma$ is nef on W . Hence $(A - P + N - \Gamma)_W - K(W) - \Delta = (d_1^{-1}B - \Gamma) + (1 - c)qB + cH$ is ample on W , so $H^1(W, A - P + N - \Gamma) = 0$ and $H^0(W, A - P + N) \rightarrow H^0(\Gamma, A - P + N)$ is surjective. Arguing similarly as in [EL], we infer that $\varphi_\Gamma \neq 0$ for some $\varphi \in H^0(M, A - P)$. This implies $\xi(y) \neq 0$ while $\xi(x) = 0$ as in (2.5.0).

(2.6) Now we consider the case $i_x = i_y$. We need the following assumption.

$$\text{NA2)} \quad d_3^2(d_3(d_1 - 2)^3 - 27d_1^3) > (d_3(d_1 - 2) - d_1d_2)^3 > 0.$$

As NA1), this is satisfied when $d_i = 6^i$. We may assume $d_i \in \mathbb{Q}$ and $d_3 = B^3 = d$ as before. We set $r = (d_1 - 2)/d_1$.

(2.6.1) Let $\pi_1 : V_1 \rightarrow V$ be the blow-up at x and let E_x be the exceptional divisor. As in (2.2), we have $h^0(tmrB - 3tmE_x) \geq \frac{t^3}{6}m^3(r^3d - 27) + O(t^2)$ by (1.2). Hence there is a birational morphism $f_1 : M' \rightarrow V_1$ and an effective NC $\mathbb{Q}$ -divisor F' on M' such that $H' = rB - 3E_x - F'$ is ample on M' and $(H')^3 > d(r - d_2/d)^3$ by NA2).

(2.6.2) By replacing the model M and M' suitably, we may assume that $M' = M$ and $F + F'$ is an NC $\mathbb{Q}$ -divisor.

Indeed, we have a common model M'' such that $F_{M''} + F'_{M''} + \text{Exc}(M''/V)$ is an NC $\mathbb{Q}$ -divisor. Perturbing similarly as in (1.4), we replace $F_{M''}$ and $F'_{M''}$ by F_2 and F_1 so that all the requirements are satisfied. In particular $H_2 = qB - 3E_x - 3E_y - F_2$ and $H_1 = rB - 3E_x - F_1$ are ample on M'' .

From now on we assume $M = M' = M''$ for the sake of brevity.

(2.6.3) Let $F_1 + f_1^*3E_x = \sum_i \mu'_i F_i$ and set $\nu'_i = \mu'_i/(a_i + 1)$, where a_i is as in (2.4). F_i is non-exceptional iff $a_i = 0$, and for such i with $\pi(F_i) \ni x$ or y we have $\nu'_i = \mu'_i < 1$ by NA2) as in (2.3).

(2.6.4) Let I_x be as in (2.4), set $\nu'_x = \max_{i \in I_x} \nu'_i$ and let i'_x be the index $i \in I_x$ such that $\nu'_i = \nu'_x$. Then $\nu'_x \geq 1$ as in (2.4). We define similarly I_y , ν'_y and i'_y .

(2.6.5) When $\nu'_y \geq 1$, we divide the cases as before, and show that $|A|$ separates x and y in case $i'_x \neq i'_y$.

In the case $i'_x = i'_y$, set $W = F_{i'_x}$ for brevity and put $c' = (\nu'_x)^{-1}$. Then $\text{Int}(\sum_i (c'\mu'_i - a_i)F_i) = W + P' - N'$ for some effective divisors P', N' with $\{x, y\} \notin \pi(P')$. Similarly in (2.5) we infer that $H^0(M, A - P' + N') \rightarrow H^0(W, A - P' + N')$ and $H^0(M, A - P') \rightarrow H^0(W, A - P')$ are surjective. Let Z be as in (2.5.1) and take points z_1 and z_2 lying over x and y respectively. Let Γ_j be the fiber over z_j . Then $\frac{2}{d_1}B - \Gamma_1 - \Gamma_2$ is nef on W and $A - P' + N' - \Gamma_1 - \Gamma_2 - K(W) - \Delta' = \frac{2}{d_1}B - \Gamma_1 - \Gamma_2 + (1 - c')rB + c'H_1$ is ample on W , so $H^0(W, A - P' + N') \rightarrow H^0(\Gamma_1 \cup \Gamma_2, A - P' + N')$ is surjective. From this we infer that $|A|$ separates x and y in this case.

(2.6.6) It remains the case $\nu'_y < 1$. In this case, for $0 \leq s \leq 1$, we set $\mu_i(s) = (1 - s)\mu_i + s\mu'_i$ and $\nu_i(s) = (1 - s)\nu_i + s\nu'_i = \mu_i(s)/(a_i + 1)$. Set $\nu_x(s) = \max_{i \in I_x} \nu_i(s)$ and $\nu_y(s) = \max_{i \in I_y} \nu_i(s)$. Then $\nu_x(0) = \nu_y(0) > 1$, $\nu_y(1) < 1$ and $\nu_x(s) \geq 1$ for any s .

Let $s_0 = \sup\{s | \nu_x(s) = \nu_y(s)\}$. Then we have $i_1, i_2 \in I_x$ such that $i_1 \notin I_y$, $i_2 \in I_y$, $\nu_{i_1}(s_0) = \nu_x(s_0) = \nu_y(s_0) = \nu_{i_2}(s_0)$ and $\nu'_{i_1} > \nu'_{i_2}$. The index i_2 may be different from $i_x = i_y$, but we set here $W = F_{i_2}$ for simplicity. Put $c = \nu_x(s_0)^{-1}$. Then $\text{Int}(\sum_i (c\mu_i(s_0) - a_i)F_i) = W + P_0 - N_0$ for some effective divisors P_0, N_0 with $y \notin \pi(P_0)$. But F_{i_1} is a component of P_0 . Let Δ_0 be the fractional part. Then $A - K(M) - \Delta_0 = \frac{1+s}{d_1}B + (1 - c)\frac{d_1 - 1 - s}{d_1}B + c((1 - s)H_2 + sH_1) + W + P_0 - N_0$, so $H^0(M, A - P_0 + N_0) \rightarrow H^0(W, A - P_0 + N_0)$ and $H^0(M, A - P_0) \rightarrow H^0(W, A - P_0)$

$\xi(y) \neq 0$ for the section $\xi \in H^0(V, A)$ corresponding to φ , but $\xi(x) = 0$ since F_{i_1} is a component of P_0 and $i_1 \in I_x$. Thus x and y are separated by $|A|$.

(2.7) From the above arguments we obtain the following

Theorem. *Let A be a line bundle on a threefold V having only log terminal singularities with canonical $\mathbb{Q}$ -bundle K . Let x, y be two smooth points on V . Suppose that $B = A - K$ is nef and satisfies the following conditions:*

- 1) $BC \geq d_1 > 2$ for any irreducible curve C passing x or y ,
- 2) $B^2S \geq d_2$ for any irreducible surface S containing x or y ,
- 3) $B^3 \geq d_3$,

where d_i 's are positive numbers satisfying the numerical conditions NA1) and NA2). Then x and y are separated by $|A|$.

(2.8) *Remark.* By the same method, we can prove the following result.

Let (V, D) be a log variety having only log terminal singularities. Let x, y be two smooth points on V , let A be a line bundle on V such that $B = A - K(V, D)$ satisfies the same conditions as above. Then $|A|$ separates x and y .

(2.9) Any way, applying (2.7) for $d_i = 6^i$, we get the following

Corollary. *Let L be an ample line bundle on a smooth threefold M with canonical bundle K . Then $|K + 6L|$ separates any two different points on M .*

REFERENCES

- [[EŁ]] **E.**in and R. Lazarsfeld, *Global generation of pluricanonical and adjoint linear series on smooth projective threefolds*, Journal of AMS **6** (1993), 875–903.
- [[F0]] **F.**ujita, *Classification Theories of Polarized Varieties*, London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Series **155**, Cambridge Univ.Press, 1990.
- [[F1]] **F.**ujita, *Theorems of Bertini type for certain types of polarized manifolds*, J. Math. Soc. Japan **34** (1982), 709–718.
- [[F2]] **F.**ujita, *On polarized manifolds whose adjoint bundles are not semipositive*, Algebraic Geometry; Sendai 1985, Advanced Studies in Pure Math., **10**, 1987, pp. 167–178.
- [[F3]] **F.**ujita, *Approximating Zariski decomposition of big line bundles*, Kodai Math. J. **17** (1994), 1–3.
- [[F4]] **F.**ujita, *Remarks on Ein-Lazarsfeld criterion of spannedness of adjoint bundles of polarized threefolds*, preprint.
- [[R]] **R.**eider, *Vector bundles of rank 2 and linear systems on algebraic surfaces*, Ann. of Math. **127** (1988), 309–316.

TAKAO FUJITA

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS
TOKYO INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY
OH-OKAYAMA, MEGURO, TOKYO
152 JAPAN
E-MAIL:FUJITA@MATH.TITECH.AC.JP